

OBAMA'S INSURRECTION



By Matthew Vadum
Introduction by David Horowitz

*Preface to Matthew Vadum's Obama's
Insurrection*

By David Horowitz

It is not the proper role of an opposition party in a democracy to mount a “resistance” to a duly elected government and press for its overthrow at the very outset of its tenure. But that is precisely what the Democrats have done in the first months of the Trump administration. For the second time in its history, the Democratic Party has opted to secede from the Union and its social contract. This time there is not going to be an actual civil war because the federal government is now so powerful that whoever controls it will decide the outcome. The passions of an irreconcilable conflict are still present but they are channeled into a political confrontation over the executive power.

In launching their resistance, Democrats rejected the honeymoon normally afforded

to incoming presidents. Until now this tradition has functioned as something of a sacred political rite. Campaigns are by their nature divisive, and they inevitably exaggerate the differences between factions of the electorate. The presidential honeymoon is designed to reunite the contending factions as constituents of a shared constitutional republic. It allows an incoming president to take his place as the chief executive of all the people, to have his cabinet confirmed, and to launch his agendas before the normal contentions of a democracy resume. It ratifies the peaceful transition of power and reasserts the principle that as Americans we are one.

According to the Gallup organization, the normal duration of a presidential honeymoon in recent times has been seven months. The Democrats didn't give Trump seven seconds.

While he was president-elect, they were already attacking him as a racist, a “white nationalist,” anti-immigrant, and anti-Muslim; also an anti-democratic “fascist”- a would-be dictator. His election was called illegitimate, the alleged agent of a Russian conspiracy. This meme swiftly metastasized into one of the most bizarre witch-hunts in our political history, a “red scare” without actual reds, in which Democrat after Democrat stepped forward to allege that Trump had colluded with Vladimir Putin to steal the election.

Trump did not get confirmation hearings for the team he was hoping to put in place. He got a witch-hunt instead - a series of attempted character assassinations directed at his nominees. Most outrageously, his candidate for Attorney General, Senator Jeff Sessions, was smeared as a “racist” by one Democratic senator after another

beginning with Minority Leader, Chuck Schumer. Yet, Sessions' public career reflected values that were quite the opposite. It included service as the attorney general of a deep south state, in which capacity he had prosecuted the Ku Klux Klan and desegregated the public schools. These acts reflected his actual commitment to civil rights. Schumer and his colleagues had served alongside Sessions for ten and twenty years, and knew very well that their accusations were defamatory and false. But they persisted in them anyway.

So that no one would mistake their hostile intent, the Democrats' attacks were accompanied by calls for Trump's impeachment, despite the fact that he had hardly been in office. These were echoed in massive street demonstrations, organized and funded by core Democratic groups, which featured chants of "Not My

President,” claims by celebrity speakers that Trump’s election was “worse than being raped,” and addled wishes to “blow up the White House.” Each protest – no matter its official organizing premise - was orchestrated to underscore the identity-driven accusations that the Trump regime was anti-woman, anti-black, anti-Muslim, and anti-immigrant. Trump and his supporters were in turn anathemized as members of a hostile tribe – “white nationalists.”

Behind this Democratic rage is the conviction that the Trump administration represents a reactionary throwback to the status quo ante before Obama began “fundamentally transforming the United States of America,” as he promised on the eve of his election. The new order towards which progressives think they are marching is called “social justice.” To Democrats the hierarchy of privileges

they offer groups on the basis of ethnicity, skin color, and gender is “social justice.” It defines the society they intend to create, which in their eyes is mortally threatened by the Trump regime and its conservative supporters.

As Matthew Vadum shows in his indispensable essay, *Obama’s Insurrection*, the former president is the leader of this subversive movement, which seeks the overthrow of an elected president and puts the interests of a political faction before the interests of the nation. While Americans are focused on the threat from radicals abroad, Vadum directs his attention to the threat from radicals at home. Barack Obama was schooled in the divisive politics of the radical left, and has put those lessons to work his entire public career, and now into his post-presidency organizing of a movement to continue the fundamental

transformation of America that he pursued during his White House years. In this ground-breaking essay Vadum describes his post-presidency plans and radical agendas in compelling detail, which should raise alarm bells for every American who loves his country.

Obama's Insurrection

By Matthew Vadum

Sunny and telegenic, Barack Obama smiled for the media and seemed sincerely cooperative as he met with his successor in the Oval Office and worked with Republicans throughout the presidential transition process. American democracy was working, the 44th president assured Americans, as power was peacefully being transferred from one party to another. But behind the scenes, Obama was using U.S.

government resources to plot against President-elect Donald Trump, evidence strongly suggests. Obama quietly worked with defeated Democratic presidential candidate Hillary Clinton to promote the utterly ridiculous conspiracy theory that Trump colluded with Russia to change the results of the November election.

Aided by Trump Derangement Syndrome, what's happening in the country is “a rolling coup attempt,” according to cultural observer Michael Walsh.¹

Make no mistake about what's happening here: this is a rolling coup attempt, organized by elements of the intelligence community, particularly CIA and NSA,

¹ “The Empire Strikes Back,” by Michael Walsh, PJ-Media, Feb. 14, 2017, <https://pjmedia.com/michaelwalsh/2017/02/14/the-empire-strikes-back/>

abetted by Obama-era holdovers in the understaffed Justice Department (Sally Yates, take a bow) and the lickspittles of the leftist media, all of whom have signed on with the “Resistance” in order to overturn the results of the November election.

This offensive against Trump kicked into high gear after National Security Advisor Mike Flynn, an arch foe of Islamofascism, was forced out of his critically important advisory role less than a month into Trump’s presidency. A retired lieutenant general in the U.S. Army, fell on his sword after allegedly making contact with a Russian envoy. The White House claims Flynn resigned after admitting he lied to Vice President Mike Pence about his conversations

with the Russian ambassador to the U.S., Sergey Kislyak.

It's not as if we know what actually happened with Flynn and the Russian or Russians at this point, or if there was any contact at all. News reports don't quote any on-the-record sources.

But we do know that President Obama authorized spying on Donald Trump and his associates in order to undermine the incoming Trump administration. To leave no stone unturned, Obama may also have spied on Trump's rivals for the GOP presidential nomination. One of them, Sen. Rand Paul (R-Ky.), suggested in May 2017 that Obama sought intercepted data on him and other lawmakers. It is even possible that Obama farmed out intelligence-gathering to a foreign intelligence

agency or agencies.²

The alleged Russian conspiracy to put Trump in the White House has never made much sense. Russia walked all over Hillary Clinton when she was U.S. secretary of state. Clinton, and her replacement, former Sen. John Kerry (D-Mass.), let Putin get away with so much mischief in the world. Why would Russian President Vladimir Putin want to put an unknown quantity like Trump in the White House when he could have a proven, venal, pro-Russian bungler like Clinton leading the U.S.?

Clinton could reasonably be expected to more-or-less follow in the footsteps of Barack Obama, who was demonstrably the most pro-Russian U.S.

² “Trump’s wiretap claim is anything but ‘baseless,’” by Matthew Vadum, *American Thinker*, April 7, 2017, http://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2017/04/trumps_wiretap_claim_is_anything_but_baseless.html

president of all time.

In 2009, Obama killed President Bush's missile defense program for the Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland. Then he renegotiated the New START nuclear arms agreement, which curbed the U.S. missile defense arsenal while letting the Russians add to theirs. In March 2012, Obama was caught on an open microphone telling then-Russian President Dmitri Medvedev to wait until after the upcoming election when he would be able to make even more concessions on missile defense. As Russia engaged in what one expert called the largest military buildup since the collapse of the Soviet Union, Obama flipped off Mitt Romney during a presidential debate. After Romney on the campaign trail referred to Russia as "without question, our No. 1 geopolitical foe," Obama mocked him, saying "the 1980s are now calling to ask for their

foreign policy back.” And Obama did virtually nothing but talk when Putin invaded Ukraine.³

Obama’s plotting against Trump, both before and after the Nov. 8, 2016 election, is the kind of authoritarian conduct one might expect of a Latin American *caudillo*, not the supposed leader of the free world. Perhaps we should not be surprised. Obama, after all, does not believe in American exceptionalism and has never had the best interests of the country at heart. Throughout his time in office, Obama viewed the separation of powers doctrine, the Constitution, and even existing laws as inconveniences to be overcome with a pen and a phone. A rigid ideologue determined to bring America down from its lofty – and in his view, *undeserved* –

³ “CIA’s Brennan conspired with foreign spies,” by Matthew Vadum, FrontPageMag, April 27, 2017, <http://www.front-pagemag.com/fpm/266462/cias-brennan-conspired-foreign-spies-matthew-vadum>

perch among the nations of the world, he has long pandered to the worst elements in society.

Obama actively encouraged these people. He openly supported the violent Occupy Wall Street and Black Lives Matter movements and throughout his presidency steadfastly refused to condemn their misdeeds. He even honored many of the pro-cop-killer black-nationalist militants from Black Lives Matter by inviting them (repeatedly) to meet with him in the White House. What are a few dead bodies and burnt out businesses here and there on the road to social justice?

History records that Bill Clinton's staff engaged in petty vandalism like ripping Ws from White House computer keyboards in anticipation of George W. Bush's arrival and that the Clintons themselves made off with housewares

they later returned under pressure.⁴

But Barack Obama, a legendarily thin-skinned hater who bears grudges, had to outdo the Clintons. Obama may not have pilfered White House silverware as the Clintons did, but he has never taken criticism or rejection well. As president he regularly vilified and demonized his opponents. Imagine, if you will, how Obama, a Red-diaper baby who identifies violence-espousing communist Frantz Fanon as an intellectual influence, must feel now that Donald Trump is president and undoing his legacy. And so it should not have surprised anyone that the Trump transition was the roughest, nastiest transition in memory, made so by Obama, the most despotic, lawless, overreaching president since the great

⁴ “White House Vandalized in Transition, G.A.O. Finds,” by Robert Pear, *New York Times*, June 12, 2002, <http://www.nytimes.com/2002/06/12/us/white-house-vandalized-in-transition-gao-finds.html>

proto-fascist Woodrow Wilson.

Nor should it surprise anyone that Obama has rented a massive walled mansion in Washington's Embassy Row instead of taking up residence on a golf course in a gated community in his native Hawaii. His White House alter ego, fellow Chicagoland operator Valerie Jarrett, even lives in the house with him.

After making America poorer, weaker, angrier, and less free throughout the tumultuous eight years of his presidency, the indefatigable leftist is now trying to oust his duly elected successor from the White House.

Left-wingers have rejected the last two Republican presidents and have created movements to undermine them. Occupy Wall Street was founded in 2011 to push President Obama farther to the

left and shield him from his Republican opponent in the 2012 election. It kicked off on Sept. 17, 2011 with a “US Day of Rage,” months after ACORN founder Wade Rathke wrote of an “anti-banking jihad” and SEIU operative Stephen Lerner promised to “bring down the stock market” through a campaign of disruption.

The movement aimed at kneecapping President George W. Bush grew out of the violent leftist protests at the Ministerial Conference of the World Trade Organization (WTO), held in Seattle in late 1999. Labor unions and activist groups supported the protests and the subsequent movement. The movement is the first major mobilization to make effective use of the Internet for organizing and is said to have spawned the Left’s anti-globalization movement.

Now Obama, ignoring the results of Election Day, is building a “shadow government,” according to bestselling investigative journalist Edward Klein.

Klein was interviewed by Pete Hegseth of Fox News Channel in mid-December 2016.⁵

He said:

For the past 100 years every president who is outgoing has packed up his stuff, gone home, and not criticized his successor. This is not what the Obamas are planning to do. They’ve rented an eight-bedroom mansion in the Kalorama section of Washington from Joe Lockhart, who was Bill

⁵ “Ed Klein: Obama setting up a ‘shadow gov’t’ to undermine Trump,” Fox News Channel, Dec. 18, 2016, <https://grabien.com/file.php?id=141173>

Clinton's last press secretary. In that house there's enough room for Valerie Jarrett as well as Michelle [Obama] and the kids. A place for 10 cars to park. They are setting up what they are calling a shadow government.

Hegseth replied, "They're saying though that it's just that their children are [in school] in D.C. They want to stay there..."

Klein shot back:

That's not the real reason that they're staying there. They are staying there because despite what the president said on his press conference, he's in a sense of outrage over this incoming Trump

administration, which he thinks is going to wipe out his legacy. So he's setting up this kind of, almost an insurgency. He's picking people in foreign affairs, labor, abortion, union matters. He's setting them up to start appearing on television, making speeches, doing op-ed pieces. For the next four years, you're going to see not only a Trump administration but you're going to see a shadow government opposing the Trump administration.

In an interview later that month, Klein said, "I think what we're going to see, this is a little exaggeration, but something that is going to look a little

like the Vietnam demonstrations.”⁶

Obama’s going to have a lot of competition to set up this new government that he’s talking about for the future. He’s got the bitter-enders in the Hillary Clinton machinery who also want to be the leaders of the Democratic Party. He’s got Capitol Hill. We’re talking about [Chuck] Schumer and Nancy Pelosi and Elizabeth Warren, the left wing. There’s going to be a lot of internecine battle inside the party for the heart and soul of that party.

Obama’s handpicked choice,

⁶ “Ed Klein: It seems Obama is having a tough time letting go,” Fox News Channel, Dec. 28, 2016, <http://video.foxnews.com/v/5263549712001/?#sp=show-clips>

Tom Perez, won the chairmanship of the Democratic National Committee (DNC) in late February 2017, a move that allowed Obama to consolidate his grip over the party apparatus. “We must stand up to protect President Obama’s accomplishments,” Perez said. “We’re going to build the strongest grassroots organizing force this country has ever seen.”

Take him at his word.

Klein is correct about ex-presidents not staying behind in Washington, D.C., to vex and undermine their successors. But Obama is unlike any president the United States has ever had. Even failed, self-righteous presidents like Jimmy Carter, who has occasionally taken shots at his successors, didn’t stay planted in the nation’s capital to obstruct and disrupt the new administration.

From his Embassy Row command center less than two miles from the White House, Obama plans to endorse, campaign for, and raise funds for suitably radical candidates and create a training facility for grassroots organizing within his foundation. He has given his blessing to the National Democratic Redistricting Committee, an organization founded in January 2017 by his former Attorney General Eric Holder to encourage reverse-gerrymandering of congressional districts to favor Democrats.

In his post-presidency, Obama is now focused on defending the destructive record he racked up in his effort to fundamentally transform the United States. The so-called accomplishments the former president wants to protect include ObamaCare, the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) immigration amnesty, the

botched nuclear nonproliferation pact with the Islamic Republic of Iran, and the economy-killing Paris Agreement on climate change.

With weeks left in office, Obama laid out his plans. He used innocuous-sounding language in a friendly interview with political strategist David Axelrod, his longtime friend and adviser.⁷

With respect to my priorities when I leave, it is to build that next generation of leadership; organizers, journalists, politicians. I see them in America, I see them around the world, 20-year-olds, 30-year-olds who are just full of talent full of idealism. And the question

⁷ Episode 108, “The Axe Files with David Axelrod” podcast, Dec. 26, 2016, University of Chicago Institute of Politics and CNN, <http://www.stitcher.com/podcast/cnn/the-axe-files-presented-by-the-university-of-chicago-Institute/e/ep-108-president-barack-obama-48642240>

is how do we link them up?
How do we give them the
tools for them to bring about
progressive change? And I
want to use my presidential
center as a mechanism
for developing that next
generation of talent.

Obama's at-times soaring oratory makes him seem reasonable even though he is the most radical president in American history. Using soothing language in his farewell address, the Chicagoland politician clothed his future role in American society in moral garments. The speech was predictably hailed by his admirers as inspirational.

In a forum resplendent with the echo-acoustics the megalomaniacal former president prefers, he tried to cast

himself as a unifying figure.⁸

It falls to each of us to be those anxious, jealous guardians of our democracy; to embrace the joyous task we've been given to continually try to improve this great nation of ours. Because for all our outward differences, we in fact all share the same proud title, the most important office in a democracy: Citizen. Citizen.

So you see that's what our democracy demands. It needs you. Not just when there's an election, not just when your own narrow interest is at stake, but over the full span

⁸ "Farewell, Radical-in-Chief," by Matthew Vadum, Front-PageMag, Jan. 11, 2017, <http://www.frontpagemag.com/fpm/265429/farewell-radical-chief-matthew-vadum>

of a lifetime. If you're tired of arguing with strangers on the Internet, try talking with one of them in real life.

Obama urged civility in public discourse even though he rarely practiced it.

He coarsened dialogue in America, yet in the speech he acted as if this had happened on its own without his help. He said:

America, we weaken those ties when we allow our political dialogue to become so corrosive that people of good character are even willing to enter into public service; so coarse with rancor that Americans with whom we disagree are not just

misguided, but as malevolent. We weaken those ties when we define some of us as more American than others; when we write off the whole system as inevitably corrupt, and when we sit back and blame the leaders we elect without examining our own role in electing them.

Always obsessed with race, Obama lied in the address, saying he did his best to improve race relations. “After my election there was talk of a post-racial America,” he said. “And such a vision, however well-intended, was never realistic. Race remains a potent and divisive force in our society.”

Obama has harnessed race as an issue precisely because he knows how “potent and divisive” a force it can be

in our society. During his presidency, he deliberately made things worse in order to advance his morally twisted policy agenda. He created turmoil and chaos and didn't hesitate to spawn race riots. His hyper-politicized Justice Department refused to enforce civil rights laws when the victims were white.⁹

Obama even blamed five Dallas cops for their own murder in July 2016 at the hands of Micah Xavier Johnson, a Black Lives Matter-inspired sniper. At a memorial service, Obama lectured grieving relatives and colleagues, defended Black Lives Matter, bashed police, and pontificated about how racist Americans and American institutions are, particularly the police. Think about

⁹ For more on this topic, see J. Christian Adams's informative book, *Injustice: Exposing the Racial Agenda of the Obama Justice Department*, published in 2011 by Regnery Publishing. Adams served for five years as an attorney in the Voting Section of the U.S. Department of Justice.

that. At an event intended to honor police murdered by a racist cop-hater, Obama slandered cops as racist, placing them on the same moral footing as Johnson. “Insisting we do better to root out racial bias is not an attack on cops, but an effort to live up to our highest ideals,” he said.¹⁰

If we’re honest, perhaps we’ve heard prejudice in our own heads and felt it in our own hearts. We know that. And while some suffer far more under racism’s burden, some feel to a far greater extent discrimination’s sting. Although most of us do our best to guard against it and teach our children better, none of us is entirely innocent.

¹⁰ “Obama invites inciters of cop-killers to the White House,” by Matthew Vadum, FrontPageMag, July 14, 2016, <http://www.frontpagemag.com/fpm/263505/obama-invites-inciters-cop-killers-white-house-matthew-vadum>

No institution is entirely immune. And that includes our police departments. We know this.

Eulogies don't usually beat up the dead or reach out to or make excuses for those who murdered them, but that is not the way Obama sees things. Everything is political to the Left, so in his own mind, Obama was perfectly justified in inflicting his politics on a captive audience of widows.

Like the rest of the Left, Obama reveres thugs, especially racist thugs. Obama promoted the now-proven lies that Michael Brown of Ferguson, Mo., and Trayvon Martin of Sanford, Fla., were innocent angels unjustly cut down by white executioners. The truth, as we now know, is that both young black men were killed in self-defense by the white

men they intended to harm.

Obama sent the Community Relations Service of the U.S. Department of Justice, an office staffed with taxpayer-funded political agitators, to Sanford after Martin was killed in 2012 during a physical confrontation with a community crime watch volunteer. They worked with Black Lives Matter-aligned groups funded by radical currency speculator George Soros. For a month and a half after Martin's death, local police declined to press charges against the man who shot him, George Zimmerman, because they believed the criminal case against him was weak. Eventually authorities caved under pressure. To no one's surprise, Zimmerman was acquitted.

And the black community, which Obama claimed to champion, is worse off. Income and employment is down

in recent years and food stamp use is exploding. The national economy, which affects all races, is hobbling along, expanding at anemic European rates, while the national debt has roughly doubled.

An intelligent life form that just arrived from Alpha Centauri might have been moved as Obama tried to whitewash in his final days in office the unmitigated catastrophe that was his presidency. Anyone who lived through the Obama administration knows better.

This is the game Obama plays. When Americans are watching, he poses as a high-minded guardian of civic virtue, but behind the scenes, he's as dirty a political player as they come.

Although Donald Trump's personal eccentricities have probably contributed

to the Trump Derangement Syndrome gripping much of America, the bulk of this pathological hostility to the president has been generated by Obama, his allies, and his army of activists.

Take the case of former senior Obama Pentagon official Rosa Brooks. She urged a military coup against Trump and then bitterly protested when she was called out on it. Like Obama, it's never her fault. Brooks wrote a column at *Foreign Policy* magazine with the title, "And Then the Breitbart Lynch Mob Came for Me: For 15 years, I've spoken out against executive overreach. But in the Trump era, even theoretical criticism puts a target on your back."¹¹

Even before Trump was inaugurated,

¹¹ "Obama appointee urges coup against President Trump, then lies, whines about blowback," by Matthew Vadum, Bombthrowers, Feb. 7, 2017, <https://www.bombthrowers.com/article/obama-appointee-urges-coup-against-president-trump-then-lies-whines-about-blowback/>

the vitriol and hatred created by Obama and his army of community organizers inspired violent attacks on Trump supporters.

A gang of Trump-hating black youths kidnapped and tortured a disabled young white man in Chicago and broadcast it live on Facebook. In the video clip, the attackers shout “Fuck Donald Trump!” and “Fuck white people!”¹²

Happy Days” and “Joanie Loves Chachi” actor Scott Baio, who endorsed Trump at the Republican National Convention, claimed Nancy Mack, the wife of the drummer from the Red Hot Chili Peppers, physically assaulted him Dec. 10, 2016. Baio was in his child’s elementary school when Mack

¹² “At Least 24 Acts of Anti-Trump Rage Since Election,” by Matthew Vadum, LifeZette, Jan. 12, 2017, <http://www.lifetzette.com/polizette/at-least-24-acts-of-anti-trump-rage-since-election/>

reportedly began swearing at him and assaulting him.

Comedian T.J. Miller was arrested Dec. 9, 2016 in Hollywood, California, after allegedly slapping Uber driver Wilson Deon Thomas III during an argument about President-elect Trump. Miller accused Thomas of being a Trump supporter and hit him in the head as he was driving. The driver is suing.

On Nov. 16, 2016, a 15-year-old Trump supporter wearing a “Make America Great Again” hat was battered by other students, WTOP radio in Washington, D.C. reported. “They jumped him and beat him up pretty bad,” according to a witness. This happened during an anti-Trump walkout protest march from a high school in Rockville, Maryland. Protesters carried “Love trumps hate” and “Stronger together”

signs.

Around Nov. 15, 2016, Cameron Massie was beaten up outside a Boston bar for supporting Trump, WHDH 7News reported. The assailants called the man a “racist” and allegedly told him he “took a wrong turn. ‘You know you’re in Massachusetts.’” Massie said he was talking about the election in the bar and the men “kept butting in.” They punched him in the face and the back of the head as he left the bar.

Overnight Nov. 14, 2016, two vacant homes in Tampa, Florida, were spray-painted with anti-Trump messages, WTSP reported. The graffiti consisted of “Fuck Trump,” “Burn Everything,” and “BLM,” presumably a reference to the Black Lives Matter movement.

In Malmö, Sweden, chef Anders

Vendel was beaten up Nov. 14, 2016 because his Muslim assailants thought he physically resembled Donald Trump. He wrote in a Facebook post that “he was beaten up by three men, two of whom bound his arms behind his back, while the third beat him in the face. He estimated that he was punched twenty times in the face.” Vendel suffered a broken nose and extensive bruising on his face, The Local, an English-language news website in Sweden, reported.

Texas state troopers arrested six members of a communist group called Red Guards Austin on Nov. 13, 2016 for assaulting Trump supporters and police during an anti-Trump riot, CBS Austin reported. “Red Guards Austin is a Marxist-Leninist-Maoist collective of community organizers and mass workers based in Austin, Texas,” according to the group’s Facebook page.

Mitchell Mormon Jr. was shot to death on Nov. 12, 2016 in Atlanta, Ga., after seemingly expressing support for Trump, WSP-TV reported. Shaunita Walker, who was on a first date with Mormon, was also shot in the incident. “She says Mormon and the man began arguing,” according to the WSP report. “She says Mormon jokingly told the man he voted for Donald Trump and he would be gone next week. Walker says the argument continued, the shooter went around the corner, came back with a gun and opened fire.”

On Nov. 12, 2016 in Meriden, Conn., two men attacked a man who was standing on a traffic island waving an American flag and displaying a pro-Trump sign in public, WTNH reported. “Police say a silver car stopped at the island, two men got out and began punching and kicking the man, knocking

him into the street ... The victim told police that the two men gave him the finger, he responded ‘same to you’ and then the assault started.”

On the same day, rocks, bricks, and bottles were hurled at police during an anti-Trump protest in Indianapolis, Ind., Heavy reported.

On Nov. 11, 2016, Corey Cataldo was attacked on the New York subway by two men who didn’t like the fact that he was wearing a “Make America Great Again” hat, WABC reported. “He asked me if I’m a Trump supporter. I said ‘yeah’ and thought he’d say ‘me too.’ People have been doing that,” Cataldo said. “But no. This man was not a Trump supporter.”

“The next thing I know, I have hands around my neck and I’m being

choked,” he said. “I try to fight him off, and another gentleman comes over, pretends like he’s going to help me and says ‘get off of him.’ He shoves me up against the wall, up against the window.”

Craig Heiser III, a student at Southwestern University in Georgetown, Texas, claimed on Nov. 11, 2016 that his car was keyed and vandalized with the word “racist” because it displayed a Trump bumper sticker, Heavy reported.

Portland, Ore. emerged as the violent epicenter of the post-election protests. Activists caused a riot on Nov. 10, 2016 that lasted for days and led to more than \$1 million in damage and one person being shot in the leg. Projectiles, including glass bottles and road flares, were tossed at police officers and a film crew was attacked. Black Lives Matter was heavily involved in the

demonstrations in Portland and across America.

NBC affiliate KGW reported that more than half of the anti-Trump demonstrators in Portland weren't Oregon voters. Of 112 taken into custody by the Portland Police Bureau, at least 69 of them "either didn't turn in a ballot or weren't registered to vote in the state."

A pregnant woman driving her sport utility vehicle was surrounded and harassed by protesters in Portland around the same time. Ukraine-born Trump supporter Valentin Muntyan was surrounded by anti-Trump protesters while driving his Jeep in Portland. KGW reported:

Muntyan said he was driving with his brother and nephew when at an intersection,

someone noticed the flags on his car and asked whom he voted for. He was honest. “I told them I support U.S. elections results and I support Trump,” said Muntyan. Things went downhill, fast. “They surrounded us and started ripping off the flag,” said Muntyan who had a couple flags hanging on his car. “They smashed the windshield with a bat.” Rioters also smashed a headlight and a window. His car was even spray painted.

Alleged “anti-bullying activist” Shacara McLaurin, 23, was involved in a shouting match with Trump supporter James Durkan, 74, during an anti-Trump rally Nov. 10, 2016 near the Trump Tower in Manhattan, the *New*

York Daily News reported. “McLaurin shoved the Trump fan to the ground — opening up a gash on the back of his head, cops said ... Cops took McLaurin into custody without incident. She was charged [with] misdemeanor assault and harassment, police said.”

Two statues honoring Civil War icons on the Confederate side were defaced on Monument Avenue in Richmond, Va., on Nov. 10, 2016, WTVR reported. The phrase “Your vote was a hate crime” was spray-painted on monuments honoring Confederate President Jefferson Davis and famed CSA cartographer Matthew Fontaine Maury.

On Nov. 9, 2016 in Chicago, David Wilcox was beaten and dragged down the street with his hand jammed in a car window. An angry mob accused him of

supporting Trump, Breitbart reported.

“You voted Trump! You voted Trump! Daaaaaaaamn!” an off-camera voice screams in a video of the incident, while others say “you gonna pay for that shit!” and “beat his ass!” Another laughs as the man is beaten, saying, “Don’t vote Trump.” In another video of the same event, the rap song “Fuck Donald Trump” plays as two black men punch and kick the white victim.

The Daily Caller added that Wilcox did vote for Trump, but his attackers didn’t know that when they assaulted him. They assumed “that he did because he was, as they put it, a ‘white boy.’” When the incident was discussed on CNN, Symone Sanders, who was national press secretary for the Bernie Sanders presidential campaign, mocked Wilcox., saying, “Oh, my goodness,

poor white people!”

An unidentified woman claimed she was assaulted Nov. 9, 2016 at a Philadelphia area diner for being a Trump supporter, WTFX reported. “I was wearing a ‘Make America Great Again’ hat,” the woman told reporters. She claimed a waitress insulted and then assaulted her. “She’s standing in a corridor and knocks into me. Then checks me with her shoulder,” the customer said.

Student Jade Armenio of Woodside High School in Woodside, Calif., was beaten up at school the day after the election for expressing support for Trump on her Instagram account on Election Day, saying “I hope he wins.” KTVU reported that cellphone video “shows another female student approaching the girl and taking her glasses off before

she starts hitting the girl in the head repeatedly saying ‘Fuck you bitch.’” The attacker said Armenio’s support for Trump was proof she hated Mexicans.

On the same day, Andre Hudson, a 17-year-old from Bayside High School in Palm Bay, Fla. punched a classmate in the face for holding a Trump sign, WKMG in Orlando reported.

Hudson was taken to the dean’s office. When a school resource officer arrived he was visibly agitated and swearing at school officials, according to an affidavit. The officer tried to de-escalate the situation, but Hudson stuck his middle finger in the officer’s face, paced around the room and began punching filing cabinets and knocking

things off the desk, police said.

“When I see that white boy again, I’m going to punch him in his face,” Hudson said, according to the report. Hudson stormed off, and when he returned to the office, with the officer following him, he saw the student with whom he had fought earlier and confronted him again, authorities said. The teen cursed at his classmate while standing in a ‘menacing fashion’ and staring, police said. The other student sat silently during the encounter.

On the same day, an elementary school student in Stafford, Texas, “was badly beaten by his classmates ... in the

wake of Donald Trump winning the presidency,” the Daily Caller reported, citing Fox 26. The boy was taken to the emergency room and hospitalized.

“These boys decided to ask the classroom, ‘Who voted for Donald Trump?’” the victim said in a reference to a mock election in the school. “And then I said, ‘I did.’ And then they come over here and jerked me out of my seat, and before I could get up they started kicking me and punching me.”

An 18-year-old female student at the University of Guelph, not far from Toronto, Canada, claimed she was assaulted Nov. 9, 2016 for wearing a “Make America Great Again” hat, the *Guelph Mercury Tribune* reported. While wearing the hat,

[Emily Markowski] said she

received a number of dirty looks from other students, and then while studying with friends in the University Centre cafeteria, it was smacked off her head. She said she hasn't worn it since. "I'm afraid now. I don't want to get physically assaulted again," she said. "It's kind of terrifying. I feel like you cannot walk around with that hat on at this campus and feel safe."

Markowski said "she knows of other Trump supporters at the school who were also discriminated against following the election."

This is not an exhaustive list, and no matter what left-wingers may tell you, these attacks are not isolated incidents.

Organizing for Action

Out of the spotlight, largely insulated from adverse publicity by his countless allies in the mainstream media, Obama is now using his two tax-exempt nonprofits, Organizing for Action (OfA) and the Barack Obama Foundation, to preserve his ugly works and continue punishing America for its imagined sins. With its size and large budget, OfA in particular has outsized influence on the Left. It is involved more or less everywhere in the country and helps to set the agenda for the activist Left. It is the natural successor to the anti-Bush/anti-globalization and Occupy Wall Street movements and is Obama's main vehicle for domestic subversion.

It is important to note that there is no GOP corollary to OfA, which the *Washington Post* (April 3, 2017)

describes as the “premier advocacy group that supported Obama’s legislative agenda.”

After the 2008 election, the unincorporated organization, then known as Organizing for America, was a phony grassroots campaign run by the Democratic National Committee that sought to replicate the organizing techniques Obama practiced in Chicago. Organizing for America had been located within the DNC headquarters. OfA evolved out of Obama’s 2008 campaign and was created in large part because the White House could not legally use the 13 million e-mail addresses that the Obama campaign compiled in 2008. It is the only time in American politics that a nonprofit issue-advocacy group dedicated to advancing a sitting president’s agenda had grown out of that president’s election campaign.

First Lady Michelle Obama and OfA national chairman Jim Messina, who was Obama's 2012 campaign manager, unveiled Organizing for Action on Jan. 18, 2013. The group was originally located within the Washington headquarters of the National Education Association, itself essentially a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Democratic Party.

At the launch President Obama said OfA would “play an active role” in promoting and “speaking out in support of important legislation.” In an email to supporters he said, “Together, we’ve ... fought for historic legislation and we’ve brought more people than ever before into the political process. Organizing for Action will be a permanent commitment to this mission.” OfA would be the “next step in our grassroots movement,” and would become “an unparalleled force

in American politics,” that would “turn our shared values into legislative action and ... empower the next generation of leaders in our movement.”

Michelle Obama appeared in a video introducing the group to the public. She said OfA was “the next phase of our movement for change,” and that it would help Obama supporters “finish what we started and truly make that change we believe in.” She congratulated supporters for having “already begun to change our politics,” and declared that “the mission of Organizing for Action” is to “change our country” in accordance with her husband’s vision of how to “bridge [the] divide” between “the world as it is and the world as it should be.”¹³

¹³ “Michelle Obama Announces Launch Of ‘Organizing For Action’ (VIDEO)” by Tom Kludt, Talking Points Memo, Jan. 18, 2013, <http://talkingpointsmemo.com/livewire/michelle-obama-announces-launch-of-organizing-for-action-video>

Here Mrs. Obama was paraphrasing Saul Alinsky from *Rules for Radicals*. Alinsky famously wrote:

The basic requirement for the understanding of the politics of change is to recognize the world as it is. We must work with it on its terms if we are to change it to the kind of world we would like it to be. We must first see the world as it is and not as we would like it to be. We must see the world as all political realists have, in terms of “what men do and not what they ought to do,” as Machiavelli and others have put it.

Organizing for Action was off to a fast start.

Obama and his campaign allies leased to OfA “the sophisticated software and databases (e.g., voter files, 20+ million-name email lists, and donor lists) which they had developed for Obama’s re-election campaign.” Obama’s team retained ownership of the data. “We’ve never had a presidential campaign that created and retained the kind of information that the Obama 2012 campaign built,” Democratic strategist and former Obama campaign official Steve Hildebrand said at the time. “So it’s going to take [a while] to figure this new environment out and how it should apply to future elections.”¹⁴

What does Organizing for Action do?

Organizing for Action isn’t just

¹⁴ “Organizing for Action,” Discover the Networks, <http://www.discoverthenetworks.org/printgroupProfile.asp?grpId=7774>

about pamphleting and left-wing consciousness-raising. It is a less violent version of Mussolini's black shirts and Hitler's brown shirts, or of the government-supported goon squads that Venezuela's Nicolas Maduro and Cuba's Castro brothers use to harass and intimidate their domestic opponents.

Obama is "intimately involved" in OfA's operations and issues tweets from the group's account, according to investigative journalist Paul Sperry. "In fact, he gave marching orders to OFA foot soldiers following Trump's upset victory."¹⁵

It is a formidable army of community organizers. As of 2014, Organizing for Action had 240 employees and 35,525 volunteers. No doubt those figures are

¹⁵ "How Obama is scheming to sabotage Trump's presidency," by Paul Sperry, *New York Post*, Feb. 11, 2017

significantly higher now. At the moment, OfA claims to be training 25,000 people as organizers.

As Sperry observed¹⁶ :

Obama is leaving behind a generation of militant jerks — collectively known as his “family” — to continue to proliferate protests and militate against everything and nothing. These irritating, self-absorbed, belligerent brats will “hands-up, don’t shoot” themselves into every cobwebbed corner of society, and the liberal media will give them the national bullhorn to amplify their hatred every

¹⁶ “How Obama is bankrolling a nonstop protest against invented outrage,” by Paul Sperry, Nov. 14, 2015, <http://nypost.com/2015/11/14/how-obama-is-bankrolling-a-non-stop-protest-against-invented-outrage/>

time.

Obama's presidency "has been pockmarked by rioting, looting and protests," as he "encouraged the nonstop civil unrest exhausting the nation," Sperry wrote in late 2015. Obama and his "army of social justice bullies" are going to make things worse before he leaves office on Jan. 20, 2017. He added, OfA has trained "more than 10,000 leftist organizers, who, in turn, are training more than 2 million youths in [Saul] Alinsky street tactics," according to Sperry. This "army of social justice bullies" will carry on Obama's campaign to fundamentally transform America.¹⁷

Indeed, Obama spent his time in the Oval Office calculating and generating pain, turning Americans against each other in order to advance his progressive

¹⁷ Ibid.

agenda. Obama and his fellow community organizers were always in search of new villains and victims.

All of the angst and civil unrest Obama created was justified in his eyes, for the cause. After all, community organizing is anti-capitalist agitation. It is based on the teachings of Chicago small-c communist Saul Alinsky, revered by the Left as the father of community organizing. It artificially creates pressure for government spending on whatever happens to be fashionable in leftist circles that day. It's about making people angry so they push for change. Alinsky instructed radical activists to "rub raw the sores of discontent" and manufacture the conditions for a "revolution."

A revolutionary organizer must shake up the prevailing patterns of lives – agitate,

create disenchantment and discontent with the current values [until] masses of people have reached the point of disillusionment with past ways and values ... The time is then ripe for revolution.

Alinsky dedicated his book, *Rules for Radicals*, to “Lucifer,” whom he called the “first radical known to man.” The book was written to help activists take power from the “haves” and redistribute it to the “have nots.”

Obviously, this Alinskyite poison can cause irreparable harm, Sperry noted. “Chronic social irritation can do lasting damage to the fabric of a nation.”

“By falsely accusing people of racism ... or sexism ... or homophobia ... or Islamophobia — or whatever other

“ism” or phobia they come up with next — these agitators are creating angst and hatred in people’s hearts that wasn’t there previously.”

Obama promises more of the same in the years to come. More name-calling, more polarization, more demonization, more emotional blackmail, more intimidation. If riots and cop killings are needed to keep his legacy in place, he’s fine with that.

But Obama still manages to sound reasonable to his followers. After Hillary Clinton went down in flames in the election, Obama came across as reassuring.

“It is fine for everybody to feel stressed, sad, discouraged,” Obama said in a post-election conference call from the White House. “But get over it.”

Progressives have to “move forward to protect what we’ve accomplished.”

“Now is the time for some organizing,” he said. “So don’t mope.”

The 501(c)(4) nonprofit that doesn’t have to disclose its donors is at the head of Obama’s network of left-wing nonprofit groups. Organizing for Action, Sperry warns, has “a growing war chest and more than 250 offices across the country.”

OfA is drowning in money by nonprofit standards.

By the end of 2014, OfA, which was formally incorporated only the year before, had taken in \$40.4 million, \$26 million of which was raised in 2014, according to the organization’s most recently publicly available IRS filings. It had a budget of \$17.8 million in 2014 (\$5.3 million of which was devoted to

“issues advocacy,” and \$5.1 million of which was for its “training program”) and \$20.6 million (\$2.2 million for “education,” \$3.1 million for “training,” and \$6.8 million for “grassroots advocacy”) the year before. OfA had 197 employees and 27,282 volunteers in 2013. In 2014, it had 240 employees and 35,525 volunteers.

OfA initially disclosed its donors but then abruptly halted the practice. Many of OfA’s big donors are members of the George Soros-founded Democracy Alliance, a donors’ consortium for left-wing billionaires devoted to building political infrastructure aimed at fostering radical political change. Among the Democracy Alliance members donating to OfA are: real estate heiress Amy Goldman Fowler (\$750,000); venture capitalist Ryan Smith (\$476,260); television producer Marcy Carsey (\$250,000); medical equipment heir

Jon Stryker (\$200,000); psychologist Paul Boskind (\$105,000); software entrepreneur Paul Egerman (\$100,000); and venture capitalist Nick Hanauer (\$50,000).

Other major donors include: scientific research entrepreneur David Shaw (\$1 million); insurance mogul John D. Goldman and his wife Marcia (\$325,000); philanthropists Barbara Grasseschi and Anthony Crabb (\$285,000); investor Mark Gallogly (\$200,000); venture capitalist Kenneth Levine (\$200,000); and philanthropist Laure Woods (\$190,550).¹⁸

On its website, the group claims that there are “5 million Americans who’ve taken action” with OfA, and

¹⁸ “Organizing for Action: Who’s Giving to Obama-Linked Nonprofit?” Open Secrets, June 17, 2014, <https://www.opensecrets.org/news/2014/06/organizing-for-action-whos-giving-to-obama-linked-nonprofit/>; “Organizing for Action Committee,” Open Secrets, <https://www.opensecrets.org/obama/ofa.php>

that those individuals “are part of a long line of people who stand up and take on the big fights for social justice, basic fairness, equal rights, and expanding opportunity.” Among its key issues are “turning up the heat on climate change deniers,” comprehensive immigration reform (which includes mass amnesty), “telling the stories of the millions who are seeing the life-saving benefits of Obamacare,” fighting for “a woman’s health care” which is “a basic right,” and redistributing wealth from those who earned it to those who didn’t.

Organizing for Action runs a project called the Community Organizing Institute (COI) which it says partners “with progressive groups and organizations to educate, engage, and collaborate.”

OfA describes COI in almost lyrical

terms¹⁹:

Building upon the rich history of community organizing in Chicago, the COI is a place to share stories, best practices, and innovations in order to build our community and empower individuals in the fight for change. It is a shared space for organizers, policy makers, advocates, and change-agents to come together for workshops, panel discussions, presentations, trainings, film screenings, and social gatherings—building a strong foundation for partnerships.

Translation: at COI you can learn how to spark riots, get arrested to make a political statement, organize lynch

¹⁹“Community Organizing Institute,” <https://www.ofa.us/community-organizing-institute/>

mobs and voter fraud on a massive scale, intimidate and shake down corporations, blackmail lenders, race-bait public officials and businesses into submission, smear and terrorize your opponents, shield illegal aliens from law enforcement, lead squatters to invade foreclosed homes, encourage welfare fraud, and use tax dollars to promote cockamamie social-engineering schemes.

COI boasts of hosting events featuring prominent leftists including labor organizer Ai-Jen Poo, former judge Abner Mikva, Chicago Mayor and former Obama White House Chief of Staff Rahm Emanuel, and U.S. Rep. Jan Schakowsky (D-Ill.) who is the wife of Obamacare architect Robert Creamer.

Not long after Donald Trump was inaugurated, OfA communications director Jesse Lehrich said that the

“grassroots energy that’s out there right now is palpable.” The group is “constantly hearing from volunteers who are excited to report about events they’re organizing around and all of the new people that want to get involved.”

OfA’s activism relies heavily on the Internet and draws its inspiration from MoveOn.org, which developed a successful model for meet-up activism and point-and-click fundraising. Its Facebook page seems to be updated more often than its website.

The campaigns waged by OfA and MoveOn.org often overlap. Sometimes both groups produce mass emails on related subjects that arrive in supporters’ email boxes within minutes of each other.

Protests and Disruptions

Organizing for Action is on the march. In the early days of the Trump administration, its activists organized protests across the country. Some became riots. After President Trump issued Executive Order 13769 temporarily banning visitors from seven terrorism-plagued Muslim countries, OfA organized “spontaneous” demonstrations at airports. Phone banking is one means the group uses to round up warm bodies for actions.

Obama praised the airport rabble-rousers, saying through a spokesman he was “heartened by the level of engagement taking place in communities around the country.” “Citizens exercising their Constitutional right to assemble, organize and have their voices heard by the elected officials is exactly what we expect to see when American values are

at stake.”

OfA promoted and participated in the Women’s March on Washington and in related marches across the country the day after President Trump was sworn in. The group also promoted and participated in the People’s Climate March on April 29, 2017 and in related events nationwide.

Organizing for Action developed a training manual for protesting President Trump and disrupting Republican events. Activists used tactics explained in the manual in early 2017 to wreak havoc at Republican lawmakers’ town hall meetings with constituents. Working with MoveOn.org and a new group called Indivisible, OfA has developed tools to help protesters locate town hall meetings to disrupt. Talking points and scripts for question-and-answer sessions

are provided.²⁰

The OfA manual advises activists on how to intimidate GOP officeholders into withdrawing support for Obamacare repeal, cutting immigration from terrorist-prone Muslim nations, and constructing a wall on the nation's southern border with Mexico.

Paul Sperry reports:

The manual, published with OFA partner “Indivisible,” advises protesters to go into halls quietly so as not to raise alarms, and “grab seats at the front of the room but do not all sit together.” Rather, spread out in pairs to make

²⁰ “Republicans Brace for Town Hall Protests About President Trump” by Sam Frizell, *Time*, Feb. 17, 2017, <http://time.com/4675256/donald-trump-congress-town-hall-protests/>

it seem like the whole room opposes the Republican host's positions. "This will help reinforce the impression of broad consensus." It also urges them to ask "hostile" questions — while keeping "a firm hold on the mic" — and loudly boo the GOP politician if he isn't "giving you real answers."

"Express your concern [to the event's hosts] they are giving a platform to pro-Trump authoritarianism, racism, and corruption," it says.

The goal is to make Republicans, even from safe districts, second-guess their support for the Trump agenda, and to prime "the ground for

the 2018 midterms when Democrats retake power.”

“Even the safest [Republican] will be deeply alarmed by signs of organized opposition,” the manual states, “because these actions create the impression that they’re not connected to their district and not listening to their constituents.”²¹

Activists are encouraged to take video footage of the spectacles they create at the public meetings and then turn over the footage to reporters. “Unfavorable exchanges caught on video can be devastating” for GOP politicians, when they are “shared through social media and picked up by local and national media.”

For example, images of disrupters

²¹ [obama-linked-activists-have-a-training-manual-for-protesting-trump/](#)

mucking up U.S. Rep. Jason Chaffetz's (R-Utah) constituent meeting in early 2017 gave CNN and MSNBC an opportunity to broadcast the dramatic footage over and over again, forcing the congressman to spend a great deal of time defending and explaining himself.

“I was a placekicker at one point in college so you get used to people kicking and screaming at you,” Chaffetz said. “The far-left progressives, if they think they're making progress by shutting down the dialogue, I think the public figures that out pretty quickly. It's not productive. In fact, it's counterproductive and it exposes the Democrats for who they are.”²²

The manual also urges activists to swamp “Trump-friendly” lawmakers' Capitol Hill offices with angry telephone

²² Ibid.

calls and emails demanding that top White House strategist Steve Bannon resign his post. A ready-made script asks callers to complain: “I’m honestly scared that a known racist and anti-Semite will be working just feet from the Oval Office ... It is everyone’s business if a man who promoted white supremacy is serving as an adviser to the president.” Of course, no proof is offered for the spurious allegations against Bannon, which have become an article of faith among the Left.

When Republican lawmakers responded to the Left’s disruption of town hall meetings by canceling them, OfA developed a “Recess Toolkit” in time for the congressional recess that began in May 2017. It explains how to vex lawmakers in their offices.²³

²³ Post on OfA’s Facebook page, May 12, 2017, <https://www.facebook.com/OFA/posts/667359806788199>

Only a handful of the 217 representatives who voted for the House's disastrous health care bill are holding town hall events this recess. That's completely unacceptable, but we've got a solution.

We've partnered with Center For American Progress Action Fund, Indivisible Guide, Town Hall Project, and Progressive Change Campaign Committee (Bold-Progressives.org) on a new project to make it easy for constituents to have their questions answered by their neighboring members of Congress. If your representative won't answer your questions, talk to one who will.

OfA activists confronted Rep. Rodney Davis (R-Ill.) in May 2017 outside his district office and laughed as Davis began telling a story about his wife’s battle with colon cancer. He stopped when he heard groans from the crowd. “Did I just hear an ‘ugh’?” Davis said. “Wow. Did I just hear an ‘ugh’ as I’m about to talk about somebody who had cancer? That’s offensive.” OfA broadcasted the event on Facebook Live.²⁴

In February 2017, anti-Trump demon-trators stormed a home district office of U.S. Rep. Dana Rohrabacher (R-Calif.), knocking a 71-year-old female staffer unconscious.

²⁴ “OFA Protesters Laugh as Republican Tells Story of Wife’s Fight With Cancer,” by Brent Scher, Washington Free Beacon, May 8, 2017, <http://freebeacon.com/politics/ofa-protesters-laugh-republican-tells-story-wifes-fight-cancer/>

OfA has plans to stage 400 rallies across 42 states in 2017 to bloody Trump and Republicans over the repeal of Obamacare. “This is a fight we can win,” the group told supporters. “They’re starting to waver.”²⁵

When the U.S. House of Representatives passed the Republican overhaul of Obamacare on May 4, 2017, Organizing for Action wasted no time.

On Facebook, where OfA’s page has 154,000 likes, the group condemned the legislation and urged supporters to get involved in fighting it.

We all deserve better—say so
Members of Congress
have just rubber stamped
this administration’s cruel,
irresponsible health care plan

²⁵ Sperry, *op. cit.*, Feb. 18, 2017

that would rip away health care from at least 24 million Americans.

OFA supporters are gearing up to call out rubber stamp representatives who continue to support this administration's disastrous agenda—because we deserve better than this.

Add your name now and we'll follow up with what you can do.

OfA communications director Jesse Lehrich released a sanctimonious statement expressing disgust with the House action.

217 House members just sold out their constituents. They

proved they're nothing more than rubber stamps for this administration's destructive agenda. They decided it was more important to give the White House something to 'win' than to stand up for families who have everything to lose.

“Nobody truly believes this bill will make people's lives better. Not medical experts. Not health care providers. Not AARP or the American Cancer Society. Not the overwhelming majority of Americans. A vote in favor of this legislation was a vote to punish people for getting sick, for getting older, for having less income, for being female – all to hand a massive

tax giveaway to the rich.

“As Paul Ryan worked to convince his colleagues to support this bill, he said, ‘This is who we are. This will define us.’

“For once, Paul Ryan was right. This is who they are. This will define them.”
[emphases in original]

A few days before, when Congress reached a deal to keep the government funded through Sept. 30, 2017, Lehigh unleashed a barrage of class warfare, leftist fury, and smug gloating on Facebook.

“Despite this administration’s promises to implement a ruthless anti-immigration

agenda – including the construction of a massive border wall – common sense and principled American values once again prevailed. Not only did Congress refuse to provide the billions of dollars the administration was demanding to begin construction, the deal they struck specifically forbids other funds from being used on this ill-conceived wall.

And the wall was just one of many toxic policy proposals the administration put on the table, only to have them flatly rejected. They had proposed slashing one-third of the EPA's budget, but it remained almost entirely in tact [sic]. They wanted to gut funding

for the National Institutes of Health, which conducts life-saving medical research, but NIH is actually being handed a \$2 billion budget increase. They wanted to defund Planned Parenthood, but the indispensable women's health organization wasn't touched.

This spending deal isn't perfect, but it's a remarkable rebuke of the administration's misguided priorities, and a clear indicator that they won't be able to force their wildly unpopular agenda on the American people. We don't want a wall. We don't want people's access to health care stripped away or put out of reach. We can't afford to

pretend climate change isn't happening. And as millions of engaged citizens continue to make their voices heard, Congress will continue to listen – and those who don't will be held accountable.
[emphases in original]

It is reasonable to wonder if Organizing for Action or any of its personnel were involved in violent infiltrations of Trump campaign events in 2016 by Hillary Clinton's campaign. Actually, *strike that*: it is impossible to believe they *weren't* involved.

Clinton personally authorized illegal dirty tricks operations against Trump's campaign, according to top Democrats appearing in undercover video shot by James O'Keefe's group, Project Veritas Action Fund.

The idea was to concoct evidence that Trump supporters were crazy, knuckle-dragging thugs in order to discredit the billionaire businessman's campaign for president. Many left-wingers already call Trump a fascist or a Nazi, so creating the appearance at Trump rallies that the candidate's supporters are violent put some meat on the bone, so to speak. It's the Big Lie American-style, a huge false-flag operation generated by a real-life vast left-wing conspiracy.

This, of course, is what the Left does. Its agenda-setters dislike stories that deviate from their preferred narrative. They will lie and distort in order to shoehorn events to support their worldview. This is why Americans were told over and over again that the Tea Party movement was violent and dangerous, while Obama-approved Black Lives Matter and Occupy Wall Street were

gentle and benign. This is why we are told Republicans are greedy, heartless, and racist, while Democrats are selfless, compassionate, and color-blind.

In the video, Americans United for Change (AUfC) national field director Scott Foval is shown on camera saying, “One of the things we do is we stage very authentic grassroots protests right in their faces at their own events. Like, we infiltrate.”

“It doesn’t matter what the friggin’ legal and ethics people say, we need to win this motherfucker.” Foval adds, “we’re starting anarchy here.”

The Clinton campaign “is fully in it,” veteran left-wing strategist Robert Creamer confirms on hidden camera. “Hillary knows through the chain of command what’s going on.” In another clip, Creamer is shown saying in a

telephone call, “I spend most of my time overseeing the Trump events around the country. I mean, that’s what I do for the Clinton campaign, uh, so that’s interesting as well.”

Creamer reportedly visited the Obama White House 342 times, including 47 meetings with Obama personally. One of the visits took place in Obama’s personal living quarters.

“It’s a very big deal that Creamer visited the president’s residence in the White House,” said a former senior White House employee. “White House employees can work there for years and never visit the residence.”

It is impossible to believe the Clinton campaign’s thuggish tactics never came up in discussions between Creamer and Obama. And it is similarly

impossible to believe that Obama wasn't a part of it.

Creamer, a practitioner of the agitation arts taught by Marxist community organizing guru Saul Alinsky, is husband of Rep. Jan Schakowsky (D-Ill.), a socialist and advocate of single-payer health care. Creamer is considered by many to be the legislative architect of Obamacare. He also wrote a series of bad checks to cover his salary at a nonprofit and went to prison. Prosecutors wanted to send him up the river for 37 months but he got off with merely five months and 11 months of home confinement from a friendly Democrat judge.

Foval also let the Project Veritas investigators in on some valuable tricks of the trade.

“It’s a very easy thing for Republicans to say, well they’re bussing people in,” he said,

Well you know what? We’ve been bussing people in to deal with you fuckin’ assholes for 50 years and we’re not going to stop now – we’re just going to find a different way to do it. So, I mean I grew up with that idea. They used to bus people out to Iowa. If we needed people out there we’d bus people out to Iowa.

In a discussion O’Keefe described as focusing on bringing people from one state to another state to vote illegally, Foval, who also worked as deputy political director at George Soros-funded People for the American Way (PFAW),

explains how to get away with voter fraud.

Instead of bussing people in, it is better to have them travel in their own personal vehicles, he said.

Would they charge each individual of voter fraud? Or are they going to go after the facilitator for conspiracy, which they could prove? It's one thing if all these people drive up in their personal cars. If there's a bus involved? That changes the dynamic.

“It's the legality, because you can prove conspiracy if there's a bus,” he said. “If there are cars it's much harder to prove ... if there's enough money you have people

drive their POVs [personally owned vehicles] or you can have them drive rentals.”

Foval says in the video it’s easy to keep the voter fraud operation a secret. “So you use shells. Use shell companies.”

Democrats are untouchable, he said. “The question is, whether when you get caught by a reporter, does that matter? Because does it turn into an investigation or not? In this case, this state, the answer is no, because they don’t have any power to do anything.”

Foval explained on undercover video how pro-Clinton super PACs communicate with each other and how their information finds its way to the DNC, apparently a violation of federal law.

“I guaran-damn-tee you that the people who run the super PACs all talk to each other and we and a few other people are the hubs of that communication.”

“We’re consultants,” Foval says, “so we’re not the official entity and so those conversations can be had between consultants who are working for different parts.”

He adds:

The thing that we have to watch is making sure there is a double blind between the actual campaign and the actual DNC and what we’re doing. There’s a double blind there. So they can plausibly deny that they knew anything

about it.²⁶

OfA launched a “Truth Team” in mid-2013 to fight back against critics of Obamacare and other Obama administration policies. OfA created Facebook and Twitter accounts to feed its “truth tellers” talking points to promote Obamacare’s supposed benefits. Then-Massachusetts Gov. Deval Patrick (D) kicked off the effort with an e-mail to OfA supporters, using the subject heading, “fight back-join OFA’s truth team.”²⁷

²⁶ “Election Law Violation was Hillary’s Idea,” by Matthew Vadum, FrontPageMag, Oct. 27, 2016, <http://www.frontpagemag.com/fpm/264616/election-law-violation-was-hillarys-idea-matthew-vadum>; and, “Undercover Video: Democrats Caused Violence at Trump Rallies,” by Matthew Vadum, FrontPageMag, Oct. 18, 2016, <http://www.frontpagemag.com/fpm/264543/undercover-video-democrats-caused-violence-trump-matthew-vadum>

²⁷ “OFA launches ‘Truth Team’ to defend Obamacare, other White House policies,” by Juliet Eilperin, Washington Post, Aug. 1, 2013, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-politics/wp/2013/08/01/ofa-launches-truth-team-to-defend-obamacare-other-white-house-policies/?utm_term=.138fa6aabb98

One critic lambasted Obama for this effort to silence opposition.

There is something genuinely pathetic about Barack Obama's obsession with enemies lists — and especially with ferreting out liars who would distort his otherwise sterling record as president. The need to enlist the aid of an Orwellian-sound “truth squad” would be galling even if Obama were himself as honest as the day is long.²⁸

In 2009 while it operated as Organizing for America, that is, as part of the DNC, the group got involved in a special election in New York's 23rd district, where it supported Democrat

²⁸ “Obama's ‘Truth Team’ Is Back ... Again,” by Howard Portnoy, *The Blaze*, Feb. 26, 2016, <http://www.theblaze.com/contributions/obamas-truth-team-is-back-again/>

Scott Murphy over liberal Republican Dede Scozzafava and Conservative Party nominee Doug Hoffman. Later that year, it inserted itself in the Virginia gubernatorial contest, championing Democrat Creigh Deeds who lost to Republican Bob McDonnell.

As Organizing for America, it brought muscle to the 2011-12 fight in Wisconsin over that state's out-of-control government labor unions. It also bludgeoned Democrats that Obama deemed insufficiently left-wing, especially red-state congressional Democrats who had been wavering on the issue of Obamacare.

In 2009 as the Tea Party movement spread across the nation, Organizing for America decided it needed to fight back to save ObamaCare from the fate of HillaryCare in 1994. OfA hosted an

online forum with Obama that was billed as an opportunity to “update supporters on the fight to pass real health insurance reform.” This Internet-based pep rally featured Tim Kaine, who was then Virginia governor and DNC chairman.

Viewers were advised that OfA volunteers had organized massive grassroots support for health care reform. The group claimed more than 1.5 million OfA members organized 11,906 local events and had made 64,912 local congressional office visits in one week. It bragged that its members outnumbered protesters at town hall meetings.

That year Organizing for America created a “Fight the Smears” website to defend Obama from his past. In 2009 the website devoted considerable resources to trying to distance Obama from ACORN, the acronym for the notorious

radical pressure group, the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now. Fight the Smears published lengthy, untruthful and unconvincing refutations of Obama's ties to the group.

By September 2009, OfA seemed to have succeeded in muting interest in ACORN when the Fox News Channel's "Glenn Beck Program" and the Breitbart website Big Government revealed undercover sting videos shot by conservative activists James O'Keefe and Hannah Giles. The videos showed ACORN intake workers advising O'Keefe and Giles—who were posing as a pimp and prostitute—on, among other things, how to hide money obtained from illegal activities. The videos shocked Americans, members of Congress demanded an investigation and cut off ACORN's federal grants, and the Census Bureau ended its plans to use ACORN

workers.

Obama's ties to ACORN go back to at least 1992 when he directed Illinois voter registration efforts for ACORN-affiliated Project Vote. The voter registration push helped elect leftist Carol Moseley Braun to the U.S. Senate, where her single term was plagued by serious allegations of corruption. Obama also helped train ACORN leaders and represented ACORN in court as its lawyer.

In 2007, Obama said: "I've been fighting alongside ACORN on issues you care about my entire career. Even before I was an elected official, when I ran Project Vote voter registration drives in Illinois, ACORN was smack dab in the middle of it, and we appreciate your

work.”²⁹

Pro and Con

Left-wingers are divided on the impact Organizing for Action has had on American politics so far. Some praise it rather effusively; others view it as a threat to the traditional Democratic Party power structure.

OfA “struck me as one of the few really great Democratic organizing tools,” Glenn Thrush wrote in *Politico* after the election.³⁰

²⁹ “Organizing for America: The Democratic Party’s Community Organizing Campaign to Promote Barack Obama,” by Matthew Vadum, *Organization Trends*, May 2010, <https://capitalresearch.org/article/organizing-for-america-the-democratic-partys-community-organizing-campaign-to-promote-barack-obama/>

³⁰ ““Everything feels relatively existential now,”” by Glenn Thrush, *Politico*, Dec. 18, 2016 <http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/12/how-democrats-rebuild-2016-214533>

It did not turn out to be the great game changer that it was billed to necessarily be. But one area where it was tremendously effective was Affordable Care Act registration in a lot of Latino communities and African-American communities. And a lot of those organizers went on to become effective political organizers ...

In its prior iteration as Organizing for America, the group's participation in the political fight to enact ObamaCare was decisive, according to ObamaCare architect Robert Creamer.

For those of us working to pass health insurance reform it was like the cavalry

arriving over the hill. OFA chaired a task force of progressive organizations — and mobilized thousands of health care reform supporters to attend town meetings across the country. That effort turned the tide in the last weeks of August and saved health insurance reform.

AFSCME, SEIU, the AFL-CIO, MoveOn, Americans United for Change, Families USA, AARP, NEA and especially Health Care for America Now (HCAN) — that spearheaded the fight — have all played critical roles in this battle. None of them would dispute the decisive role played by OFA.

These groups made millions of

contacts with Congress in favor of Obama, “[b]ut no one has produced more than OFA, which by itself has generated over a million calls to Congress.”

“You can say without question, that if OFA did not exist, that the House and Senate would not be negotiating the final terms of health insurance reform — that there would have been no health care reform at all,” he wrote at the time.³¹

Organizing for Action has also met plenty of resistance among Democrat activists.

Some top Democrats complained in 2016 and 2017 that OfA redirects the party’s organizing functions, competes with the DNC for funds and volunteers, and cripples the DNC’s operations in

³¹ “Obama’s Secret Weapon: OFA,” by Robert Creamer, Huffington Post, May 25, 2011, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/robert-creamer/obamas-secret-weapon-ofa_b_411605.html

the field. Obama lavished attention and resources on OfA while allowing the DNC to atrophy, they say.

Nebraska Democratic Party Chairman Jane Kleeb sounded like a conservative critic of Obama, describing OfA as “a shadow party.”

“[With] all due respect to President Obama, OFA was created as a shadow party because Obama operatives had no faith in state parties,” Kleeb said. “So I hope the OFA role is none. I hope OFA closes their doors and allows the country and state parties to get to the hard work of rebuilding the party at the local and grass-roots level.”

“OFA had no faith or confidence in the state parties so they created a whole separate organization, they took money away and centralized it in D.C.,” Kleeb added. “They gave us a great president

for eight years, but we lost everywhere else.”³²

Kleeb is not alone in her disillusionment with OfA.

“We’ve seen over the last eight-plus years a deterioration of permanent state infrastructure,” one anonymous red-state Democrat operative told the Daily Beast. “And OFA built an alternative infrastructure that was very top-down. OFA’s actions were wasteful, duplicative, and it made no sense... There were these tensions on the ground that we saw that all over the country. Local officials felt tossed aside. A lot of these red states were abandoned. The OFA model was never a 50-state strategy—it was about

³² “Obama’s party-building legacy splits Democrats,” by Gabriel DeBenedetti, Politico, Feb. 9, 2017, <http://www.politico.com/story/2017/02/obama-democrats-party-building-234820>

the president's agenda.”³³

“There's a lot of soul-searching and reckoning to be done going forward about the role of the party,” said Lis Smith, a former aide to primary candidate and former Maryland Gov. Martin O'Malley.

“The president doesn't give a shit about the DNC, and he's the only one with the leverage to do something about it,” said Jamal Simmons, a commentator who has advised the DNC. “Barack Obama made it abundantly clear that he didn't care about the DNC, so why have that fight?”³⁴

³³“Leaked Emails: Dem State Leaders Think Obama's New Organizing Army is ‘Grade A Bullshit,’” by Asawin Suebsaeng, *The Daily Beast*, Feb. 17, 2017, <http://www.thedailybeast.com/articles/2017/02/17/leaked-emails-dem-state-leaders-think-obama-s-new-organizing-army-is-grade-a-bullshit>

³⁴ “The Long Fall of Debbie Wasserman Schultz,” by Molly Ball, *Atlantic*, July 26, 2016, <https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2016/07/the-fall-of-debbie-wasserman-schultz/493019/>

Hillary Clinton’s campaign chairman, John Podesta, acknowledged that OfA diverted resources away from the DNC, but was more diplomatic. Obama’s focus on OfA “definitely had some impact on whether the resources were being rung through and focused on the party structure,” he said.³⁵

When Organizing for America became Organizing for Action in 2013, critics on the Left warned of the potential for corruption and abuse.

Former U.S. Rep. Bob Edgar (D-Penn.), sounded the alarm, saying the group was dangerous to democracy. “If President Obama is serious about his often-expressed desire to rein in big money in politics, he should shut down Organizing for Action and disavow any

³⁵ “Hillary Clinton campaign wants a progressive DNC chair,” by Amanda Terkel and Sam Stein, Huffington Post, Aug. 2, 2016, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/clinton-campaign-dnc-chair_us_579f93bce4b0693164c20cfb

plan to schedule regular meetings with its major donors,” said the president of Common Cause. “Access to the President should never be for sale.”

“With its reported promise of quarterly presidential meetings for donors and ‘bundlers’ who raise \$500,000, Organizing For Action apparently intends to extend and deepen the pay-to-play Washington culture that Barack Obama came to prominence pledging to end,” Edgar said. “The White House’s suggestion this week that this group will somehow be independent is laughable.”

Edgar was also disturbed because, in his words, “Organizing for Action’s organizers have indicated the group will accept unlimited donations from individuals and corporations alike.”

He added:

President Obama’s backers should go back to the drawing board. The President may feel that he needs help from an advocacy organization outside the White House and the Democratic Party, but any group he creates should be fundamentally different from what we now see in Organizing for Action. At a minimum, any outside advocacy organization tied to the President should live by the ground rules the President has adopted for his Administration and the anti-corruption laws that apply to political parties.³⁶

³⁶ “Watchdog calls on Obama to shut down nonprofit, saying it sells access to president,” by Aaron Blake, *Washington Post*, Feb. 26, 2013, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-politics/wp/2013/02/26/watchdog-calls-on-obama-to-shut-down-nonprofit-that-it-says-sells-access-to-president/?utm_term=.d99f71dc8903

The Sunlight Foundation, a watchdog group, complained about OfA's unlimited donations policy around the same time.³⁷

Organizing for Action (nee Obama for America), the campaign committee that morphed into an outside money haven, is trying change its image from that of dark money group selling access to the president to a squeaky clean issues-centric organization. But this is a tiger that can't change its stripes.

After pushback from the reform community, OFA today reversed course, announcing that, "we have now decided not

³⁷ Portnoy, *op. cit.*

to accept contributions from corporations, federal lobbyists or foreign donors.” This is a far cry from initial reports that not only would OFA take money from corporations, it might do so in exchange for meetings with the President. It also begs the question: Was OFA originally planning to take money from foreign donors? We’ve long argued to an apparently indifferent political class that foreign money could easily be laundered through the dark money system that evolved after the Citizens United decision. OFA’s announcement is at least tacit acknowledgment that foreign money can easily find its way into our political process.

In March 2014, campaign finance group Democracy 21 demanded Obama either shutter OfA or disassociate himself from the group, claiming its fundraising was “a direct threat to the integrity of your presidency.” Democracy 21 President Fred Wertheimer told Obama in a letter that it appeared OfA “has been selling direct access to you and to your White House in return for huge contributions,” and referenced a meeting then-OfA executive director Jon Carson arranged with a White House official for a New Jersey businessman who wanted help with a legal dispute he was embroiled in with a federal agency over a \$2.5 million loan for a school in Pakistan.³⁸

³⁸ “Watchdog Demands Obama Shut Down White House-Backed Group,” by Michael Isikoff, NBC News, March 11, 2014, <http://www.nbcnews.com/news/investigations/watchdog-demands-obama-shut-down-white-house-backed-group-n49726>

The Barack Obama Foundation

Obama's presidential foundation — which hopes to raise \$1 billion, roughly double what was raised for the George W. Bush library — may eventually end up eclipsing Organizing for Action as a locus of destructive, antisocial activism in the post-Obama era. Obama's foundation is based at the planned Obama Presidential Center on Chicago's South Side.

“As President Obama has said, the change we seek will take longer than one presidency,” the foundation stated on its website. Obama's “historic candidacy was never simply about winning an office; it was about building a movement to tackle challenges that would define a generation. This work will live on in the Obama Foundation, which will inspire citizens across the globe to better their communities, their countries, and their

world.”

The Center is, of course, all about political agitation. “Through participatory and immersive experiences, the Center will tell Barack and Michelle Obama’s story, while lifting the hood on the mechanics of change and inspiring visitors to spark their own.”

In a video message on the website, the Obamas describe the Center as “more than a library or museum, it will be a living, working center for citizenship.” “That’s why we want to hear from you. Tell us what you want this project to be.” The foundation’s projects will be located “all over the city, country and world.”

The Center’s website is adorned with typically vapid Obamaisms. One is, “Change will not come if we wait for some other person or some other time.

We are the ones that we've been waiting for. We are the change that we seek." Another is, "this campaign can't only be about me. It must be about us — it must be about what we can do together."

But it will be some time until the foundation is fully operational, so in the meantime Organizing for Action will remain Obama's primary nonprofit vehicle for subjecting the American people to the community organizer-style agitation that helped to make him the 44th president of the United States.

Created Jan. 31, 2014, the Barack Obama Foundation is a 501(c)(3) public charity. According to its most recent publicly available IRS filings, in 2014 it had a budget of \$5.4 million, three employees and five volunteers. In 2015 it had a budget of \$1.9 million, six employees and 12 volunteers. In

2014 it received donations from the Sacks Family Foundation (\$333,333), Denver Foundation (\$182,876), and JKP Foundation (\$10,000).

Star Wars movie franchise creator George Lucas gave a donation of indeterminate size for the library. Media magnate Fred Eychaner and hedge fund executive Michael Sacks each gave \$1 million.³⁹ The foundation is operated by Obama friend Marty Nesbitt and former White House political director David Simas.

Conclusion

Just as the Sixties deformed and perverted American culture and politics, the fact that a non-leftist unexpectedly

³⁹“Obama Foundation’s daunting task: Raising money for his library,” by Kathy Bergen and Katherine Skiba, *Chicago Tribune*, Dec. 23, 2016, <http://www.chicagotribune.com/news/obamalibrary/ct-obama-library-fundraising-met-20161222-story.html>

assumed the presidency after eight years of Obama-style so-called progressivism, has driven many leftists mad.

As a result, everything President Trump does is met with hysteria. The Left is driving this, urging Americans to constantly attack and criticize Trump so as not to “normalize” his behavior or grant him the legitimacy it maintains his presidency does not deserve.

Anything goes. Left-wing activist Michelangelo Signorile has generated a lot of supportive buzz with a May 2017 Huffington Post column in which he urges his comrades to get in the faces of Trump supporters and ramp the chaos and craziness up to new heights. Of course, he assumes it is self-evident that Trump is a dangerous fascist. He advocates that non-lethal terrorism be used against Trump supporters.

Trump's firing of FBI Director James Comey "who was leading an investigation of his campaign's possible collusion with Russia," is the president's "most frightening authoritarian power grab yet," he writes, ignoring the many key positions in the Trump administration that are still vacant.

He makes the facile observation that this move "could be viewed as a direct step toward consolidating power and, yes, toward fascism, as we've seen play out in other countries — in Turkey recently, and in many other countries in history from which you could choose as an example."

Because Trump is an aspiring Hitler, "[i]t's time to move beyond polite protests within specified boundaries. It's time to escalate the expression of our outrage and our anger in a massive way."

Starting today and from here on, no elected official — certainly those in the GOP defending and supporting Trump on a variety of issues, for example — should be able to sit down for a nice, quiet lunch or dinner in a Washington, DC eatery or even in their own homes. They should be hounded by protestors everywhere, especially in public — in restaurants, in shopping centers, in their districts, and yes, on the public property outside their homes and apartments, in Washington and back in their home states.

White House officials too — those enabling the authoritarian — need to be

challenged everywhere, as do all those at the conservative think tanks who support Trump and those who publicly defend him in their columns and on television.

Trump functionaries must be harassed and tormented by activists everywhere they go. They “must be challenged going to and from their cars and at the many public speeches they give at organizations and think tanks throughout DC and elsewhere.”

Such people “should be bombarded with questions and placards by groups of people as they head to media appearances at the cable networks where they spew their lies every day. And they should be challenged when they come off TV for what they just lied about.”

Democrats should be targeted as well as Republicans, he writes.

Desperate times call for desperate measures, and for civil disobedience in the tradition of the civil rights movement. Democrats who don't push hard should be targeted too. Right now, all business in the Senate should be made to stop immediately by Democrats, for example, until we have a special counsel in the Trump-Russia probe (which Democrats have called for). And we have to make them do it.

Those who stand in the way of social justice must be hunted down and terrorized after business hours, Signorile

argues.⁴⁰

These people also attend functions and fundraisers at night (which are easy to locate), and these events should be disrupted, inside and out, until these politicians answer to the recklessness in which they are engaged. Paul Ryan, the House Speaker, should not be able to attend any function, eat in public, or enjoy dinner at home without hearing people expressing how his actions are harming their lives and their families' lives in terrible ways.

Activists have been following

⁴⁰ "To Save America We Must Stop Being Polite And Immediately Start Raising Hell," by Michelangelo Signorile, Huffington Post, May 10, 2017, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/protesting-donald-trump-comey_us_591313d1e4b050bdca61270c

Signorile’s advice.

Around the time his column was published, ObamaCare supporter Wendi Wright attempted to run Rep. David Kustoff (R-Tenn.) off the road for his vote in favor of the GOP healthcare overhaul legislation. Police say that when Kustoff pulled over, Wright left her car and pounded on the vehicle he was in and reached in to try and grab him. Police say “they nabbed her because she posted about the encounter on Facebook.”⁴¹

The same day Wright was charged with reckless endangerment, police removed an enraged man with the same policy complaint from a town hall hosted by Rep. Kevin Cramer (R-N.D.) after he got physical with the lawmaker. “Will

⁴¹ “ObamaCare supporter allegedly tried to run GOP lawmaker off the road,” by Max Jaeger, *New York Post*, May 12, 2017, <http://nypost.com/2017/05/12/obamacare-supporter-allegedly-tried-to-run-gop-lawmaker-off-the-road/>

the rich benefit from, if the health care is destroyed, do the rich get a tax break? Yes or no?” the man yelled before stuffing cash down the collar of the congressman’s shirt. “There you go, take it.”⁴²

A parade in Portland, Ore. was canceled after leftist threats of violence. An email from an address registered to RiseUp.Net, a group that is open about “providing communication and computer resources to allies engaged in struggles against capitalism and other forms of oppression,” warned that 200 protesters or more would drag Republican marchers out of the parade. “You have seen how much power we have downtown and that the police cannot stop us from shutting down roads so please consider your

⁴² “Violence And Intimidation Against Republicans Are Becoming The New Normal,” by Peter Hasson, Daily Caller, May 14, 2017

decision wisely,” the email stated.⁴³

Activists apparently disagree with Signorile that the tactics he espouses “sound too extreme and out of bounds to some people.” But they are necessary, he writes, because Trump “is not operating within boundaries — nor is anyone who is enabling him. We must fight back with equal force.”

After urging the use of “equal force,” he covers his posterior, and claims, “I’m of course not calling for violence.”⁴⁴

This is the lie leftists always tell before they unleash violence on their opponents. They swear up and down that their activities will be nonviolent. It’s a smokescreen. With the Left there is no such thing as nonviolent protest.

⁴³ *Ibid.*

⁴⁴ Signorile, *op. cit.*

The goal is chaos. The Left wants to stir things up so violently that it leads to a radical transformation of America.

Along with preserving Barack Obama's legacy, that is also the goal of his shadow government.

Longtime journalist Matthew Vadum is Senior Vice President of Capital Research Center, a conservative think tank and watchdog group in Washington, D.C., and Editor-in-Chief of Bombthrowers.com. He is a regular contributor to FrontPageMag and is author of a previous David Horowitz Freedom Center pamphlet, Government Unions: How They Rob the Taxpayer, Terrorize Workers, and Threaten Our Democracy, published in 2012. He is also author of Subversion Inc.: How Obama's ACORN Red Shirts are Still Terrorizing and Ripping Off American Taxpayers (WND Books, 2011). This year the Center for Security Policy will publish a new work of his titled Team Jihad: How Leftists and Sharia-Supremacists Collaborate to Destroy the United States.